

Journal of Organometallic Chemistry 515 (1996) 253-258



## Alkyl- and aryl-sulphinylmethylgermanium compounds Ph<sub>3</sub>GeCH<sub>2</sub>S(O)R: structure of Ph<sub>3</sub>GeCH<sub>2</sub>S(O)C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub><sup>t</sup>Bu-p

James L. Wardell<sup>a,\*</sup>, Phillip J. Cox<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of Aberdeen, Meston Walk, Old Aberdeen AB9 2UE, UK
 <sup>b</sup> School of Pharmacy, The Robert Gordon University, Schoolhill, Aberdeen AB9 1FR, UK

Received 23 November 1995

#### Abstract

Triphenyl[(organosulphinyl)methyl]germanium compounds [Ph<sub>3</sub>GeCH<sub>2</sub>S(O)R: 1 R = Me, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>X-p, X = H, Me or <sup>1</sup>Bu] have been prepared by oxidation of the corresponding sulphido compounds, Ph<sub>3</sub>GeCH<sub>2</sub>SR, with *m*-chloroperbenzoic acid. Further oxidation of 1 by *m*-ClC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>H produces the corresponding sulphones, Ph<sub>3</sub>GeCH<sub>2</sub>S(O)<sub>2</sub>R. Compounds (1 R = Me or C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>Bu-p) are extensively decomposed on heating to 170°C. A crystal structure determination of (1 R = C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>Bu) indicated it to be a molecular species with a tetrahedral geometry at germanium. The S–O bond is twisted out of the plane of the attached aryl ring by 7.0(3)°.

Keywords: Germanium; Sulfoxide; Sulphinylmethyl derivatives; Crystal structure

#### 1. Introduction

There have been few studies of (organosulphinylmethyl)germanium compounds  $R_3^{\prime}$ GeCH<sub>2</sub>S(O)R. These reports have been limited to trimethyl[(phenylsulphinyl)methyl]germanium [Me3GeCH2S(O)Ph], obtained from Me<sub>3</sub>GeCH<sub>2</sub>Cl on successive reactions with Mg and PhS(O)OMe [1], and to [Ph<sub>3</sub>GeCH<sub>2</sub>S(O)C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Mep: 1  $R = C_6 H_4 Me_p$ , produced on oxidation of  $Ph_3GeCH_2SC_6H_4Me-p$  by  $Br_2$  in aqueous MeOH [2]. Compounds  $R_3^{1}$ GeCH<sub>2</sub>S(O)R are reported to be considerably more resistant to hydrolysis than the silicon analogues  $R_3^{\prime}$ SiCH<sub>2</sub>S(O)R [1,3,4], e.g. Me<sub>3</sub>GeCH<sub>2</sub>-S(O)Ph was only hydrolysed at 60°C over a prolonged period (50 days) [1], in contrast to the ready hydrolysis of  $R_3^{\prime}SiCH_2S(O)R$  to MeS(O)R at ambient temperature. Thermolysis of R<sup>1</sup>/<sub>3</sub>SiCH<sub>2</sub>S(O)R also occurs under particularly mild conditions, e.g. Me<sub>3</sub>SiCH<sub>2</sub>S(O)Ph rearranges completely within 1 h at 60°C to Me<sub>3</sub>SiOCH<sub>2</sub>SPh [3]. The greater thermal stability of  $Me_3GeCH_2S(O)Ph$ is shown by its recovery on being heated at 60°C for 6 days [3].

Silylated derivatives  $R'_{3}SiCR^{3}R^{2}S(O)R$  ( $R^{3}$ ,  $R^{2} = H$ , alkyl or aryl) have attracted considerably more attention

0022-328X/96/\$15.00 © 1996 Elsevier Science S.A. All rights reserved *PII* S0022-328X(96)06140-2

than their germanium counterparts and more routes to their formation have been published: these synthetic methods include (i) reactions of  $R_3SiCH_2MgX$  with ArS(O)OMe [1], (ii) reactions of Me\_3SiCl with PhS(O)CHR<sup>2</sup>Li [3], (iii) oxidation of Me\_3SiCH\_2SPh by *m*-chloroperbenzoic acid, *m*-ClC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>H [4], and (iv) successive reactions of Me\_3SiCHR<sup>2</sup>S(O)Ph with BuLi and RI [5].

*m*-Chloroperbenzoic acid has found wide use as an oxidant of organic sulphides and it has been used in this study to produce both alkyl- and aryl-sulphinylmethyl-germanium derivatives [1 Ph<sub>3</sub>GeCH<sub>2</sub>S(O)R] from Ph<sub>3</sub>GeCH<sub>2</sub>SR. In addition to reporting some oxidation, spectral and stability results for (1 R = Me or C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>X-*p*, X = H, Me or 'Bu), we also wish to report the crystal structure of (1 R = C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>'Bu-*p*).

#### 2. Experimental

IR spectra were recorded on a Philips Analytical PU9800 Fourier-transform spectrometer. Solution NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker 250 MHz instrument. A Polymer Laboratory DSC instrument was used. The compounds,  $Ph_3GeCH_2Cl$  [6] and  $Ph_3GeCH_2SC_6H_4$ -Me-*p* [7], were obtained by published procedures.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

## 2.1. Preparation of Ph<sub>3</sub>GeCH<sub>2</sub>SMe

A solution of  $Ph_3GeBr$  (12.9 g, 0.500 mol) in THF (75 ml) was slowly added, with stirring, to the Grignard reagent, prepared from  $MeSCH_2Cl$  (5.10 g, 0.0530 mol), Mg (2.75 g, 0.110 mol) in THF (50 ml). After complete addition, the reaction mixture was left overnight and hydrolysed with aqueous  $NH_4OH$  solution. The organic layer was collected, dried over  $MgSO_4$  and rotary evaporated. The residue was crystallised from EtOH to give the title compound: yield, 10.1 g (55%); m.p.  $85-87^{\circ}C$ .

Anal. Found: C, 66.0; H, 5.7; S, 8.9%. C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>20</sub>GeS Calc.: C, 65.8; H, 5.5; S, 8.8%.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  2.16 [s, 3H, Me], 2.69 [s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>], 7.27–7.40 [m, 9H, *m*-+ *p*-phenyl-H], 7.45 [m, 6H, *o*-phenyl-H].

## 2.2. Preparation of (1 R = Me)

Solutions of  $Ph_3GeCH_2SMe$  (10.0 g, 0.0274 mol), in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (40 ml) and *m*-chloroperbenzoic acid (0.0274 mol) in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (40 ml) were mixed. After leaving at room temperature for 3 h, the reaction mixture was washed (three times) with aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and rotary evaporated. The residue was crystallised from EtOH: yield, 7.83 g (75%); m.p. 114–116°C.

Anal. Found: C, 63.3; H, 5.3; S, 8.5%.  $C_{20}H_{20}GeOS$  Calc.: C, 63.0; H, 5.3; S, 8.4%.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR(250 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  2.39 [br.d, 3H,  $J_{(H-H)} = 0.6$  Hz, Me], 3.09 [d, 1H,  $J_{(H-H)} = 12.8$  Hz] and 3.27 [d, d, 1H,  $J_{(H-H)} = 12.8$  Hz,  $J_{(H-H)} = 0.6$  Hz] (CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.36–7.45 [m, 9H, *m*-+*p*-phenyl-H], 7.49–7.62 [m, 6H, *o*-phenyl-H].

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (62.9 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  41.9, 42.8, 128.5 [C<sub>m</sub>], 129.3 [C<sub>p</sub>], 134.0 [C<sub>i</sub>], 134.7 [C<sub>o</sub>].

## 2.3. Preparation of $Ph_3GeCH_2S(O)_2Me$

Solutions of (1 R = Me) (5.36 g, 0.0147 mol) in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (20 ml) and *m*-chloroperbenzoic acid (0.0147 mol) in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (20 ml) were mixed. After leaving at room temperature for 3 h, the reaction mixture was washed (three times) with aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and rotary evaporated. The residue was crystallised from EtOH: yield, 4.14 g (71%); m.p. 173–175°C.

Anal. Found: C, 60.7; H, 5.4; S, 8.2%.  $C_{20}H_{20}GeO_2S$ Calc.: C, 60.5; H, 5.1; S, 8.1%.

IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr): 1290, 1130.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  2.77 [s, 3H, Me], 3.51 [s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>], 7.4–7.5 [m, 9H, *m*-+*p*-phenyl-H], 7.55–7.7 [m, 6H, *o*-phenyl-H].

#### 2.4. Preparation of $Ph_3GeCH_2SC_6H_4'Bu-p$

To a solution of sodium ethoxide, prepared from Na (0.33 g, 0.0144 mol) and EtOH (100 ml), was successively added  $p^{-1}BuC_6H_4SH$  (2.40 g, 0.0144 mol) and Ph<sub>3</sub>GeCH<sub>2</sub>Br (5.72 g, 0.0144 mol). The reaction mixture was heated under reflux overnight, hot filtered and rotary evaporated. The residue was recrystallised from EtOH: yield, 5.42 g (78%); m.p. 139–140.5°C.

Anal. Found: C, 71.8; H, 6.4; S, 6.7%.  $C_{29}H_{30}GeS$  Calc.: C, 72.1; H, 6.3; S, 6.6%.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (250 HMz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  1.43 [s, 9H, Me], 3.21 [s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>], 7.27–7.56 [m, aryl] and 7.67–7.74 [m, aryl].

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (62.9 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  16.3 [CH<sub>2</sub>], 31.3 [Me], 34.3 [Me<sub>3</sub>C], 125.7, 126.4, 128.3 [C<sub>n</sub>], 129.4 [C<sub>p</sub>], 134.9 [C<sub>o</sub>], 135.4 [C<sub>i</sub>], 136.7, 148.1.

## 2.5. Preparation of $(\mathbf{1} \ \mathbf{R} = C_6 H_4^{\ \prime} B \mathbf{u} - p)$

The title compound was obtained from  $Ph_3GeCH_2$ -SC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub><sup>'</sup>Bu-*p* (4.83 g, 0.0100 mol) and *m*-ClC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>H (0.0100 mol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (40 ml) and recrystallised from MeOH: yield, 4.14 g (83%); m.p. 152–155°C.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  1.32 [s, 9H, Me], 3.26 [d, 1H,  $J_{(H-H)} = 12.9$  Hz] and 3.53 [d, 1H,  $J_{(H-H)} = 12.9$  Hz] (CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.27–7.48 [m, aryl]. <sup>13</sup> NMR (62.9 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  31.1 [Me], 34.8

<sup>13</sup> NMR (62.9 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  31.1 [Me], 34.8 [Me<sub>3</sub>C], 45.7 [CH<sub>2</sub>], 123.8, 127.9, 128.3 [C<sub>m</sub>], 129.3 [C<sub>p</sub>], 134.3, 134.5 [C<sub>i</sub>], 134.9 [C<sub>p</sub>], 143.3.

## 2.6. Preparation of $(1 R = C_6 H_4 Me_p)$

The title compound was obtained from  $Ph_3GeCH_2$ - $SC_6H_4Me$ -p (1.76 g, 0.0040 mol) and m- $ClC_6H_4CO_3H$  (0.0040 mol) in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (40 ml): yield, 1.24 g (68%); m.p. 145–146°C. Lit. [2] m.p. 145–147°C.

Anal Found: C, 68.6; H, 5.2; S, 7.5%. C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>24</sub>GeOS Calc.: C, 68.4; H, 5.3; S, 7.4%.

IR  $(cm^{-1}, KBr)$ : 1030. Lit. [2] value 1030.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (250 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ ):  $\delta$  2.39 [s, 3H, Me], 3.20 [d, 1H,  $J_{(H-H)} = 12.9$  Hz], 3.36 [d, 1H,  $J_{(H-H)} = 12.9$  Hz] (CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.10–7.60 [m, 19H, aryl].

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (62.9 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 21.2 [Me], 46.0 [CH<sub>2</sub>], 124.0, 128.3 [C<sub>m</sub>], 129.3 [C<sub>p</sub>], 129.6, 134.5 [C<sub>i</sub>], 134.9 [C<sub>m</sub>], 141.2, 143.5.

## 2.7. Preparation of Ph<sub>3</sub>GeCH<sub>2</sub>SPh

To a solution of  $Ph_3GeLi$  in THF, obtained from  $Ph_3GeBr$  (3.83 g, 0.0100 mol) and Li (0.70 g, 0.10 mol), was added, with stirring, a solution of  $PhSCH_2Cl$  (1.59 g, 0.0100 mol) in THF (100 ml). The resulting solution was left for 20 min, hydrolysed with aqueous  $NH_4Cl$  solution and the organic layer collected, dried over  $Na_2SO_4$  and rotary evaporated. The residue was

recrystallised successively from EtOH and CHCl<sub>3</sub>/pet. ether (60-80°C): yield, 2.01 g (47%); m.p. 127-128°C. Anal. Found: C, 70.2; H, 5.0; S, 7.5%. C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>22</sub>GeS

Calc.: C, 70.3; H, 5.1; S, 7.5%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 3.06 [s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>],

7.1–7.8 [m, 20H, aryl].

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (62.9 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  15.7 [CH<sub>2</sub>], 124.8, 126.1, 128.3 [C<sub>m</sub>], 128.6, 129.4 [C<sub>p</sub>], 134.9 [C<sub>o</sub>], 135.2 [C<sub>i</sub>], 140.1.

#### 2.8. Preparation of (1 R = Ph)

A solution of  $Br_2$  (0.384 g, 0.00240 mol) in MeOH:  $H_2O$  (25 ml, 1:1 v:v) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of  $Ph_3GeCH_2SPh$  (1.03 g, 0.00240 mol) in  $Et_2O$  (20 ml). After addition was complete, the ether layer was collected, dried over  $Na_2SO_4$  and rotary evaporated. The residue was chromatographed (TLC: CHCl<sub>3</sub> as eluant). The title compound was obtained as a colourless solid: yield, 0.77 g (73%); m.p. 140–142°C.

Anal. Found: C, 67.1; H, 5.4; S, 7.2%.  $C_{25}H_{22}GeOS$ Calc.: C, 67.4; H, 5.3; S, 7.2%

IR  $(cm^{-1}, KBr) = 1030.$ 

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  3.23 [d, 1H,  $J_{(H-H)}$ = 12.9 Hz], 3.35 [d, 1H,  $J_{(H-H)}$  = 12.9 Hz] (CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.10–7.60 [m, 20H, aryl].

#### 2.9. Preparation of $Ph_3GeCH_2S(O)_2Ph$

The title compound was obtained from (1 R = Ph)(0.600 g, 1.35 mmol) and *m*-ClC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>H (1.35 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 ml). After leaving at room temperature for 3 h, the reaction mixture was washed (three times) with aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and rotary evaporated. The residue was crystallised from EtOH: yield, 0.43 g (69%); m.p. 195–198°C.

Anal. Found: C, 65.3; H, 4.9; S, 6.8%. C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>22</sub>GeO<sub>2</sub>S Calc.: C, 65.1; H, 4.8; S, 7.0%.

IR  $(cm^{-1}, KBr)$ : 1305, 1148.

<sup>'</sup>H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  3.60 [s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>], 7.3–7.45 [m, aryl], 7.5–7.6 [m, aryl], 7.8–7.85 [m, aryl].

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (62.9 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 46.9 [CH<sub>2</sub>], 126.8, 128.3 [C<sub>m</sub>], 129.0, 129.6 [C<sub>p</sub>], 132.8, 134.0 [C<sub>i</sub>], 135.0 [C<sub>a</sub>], 142.8.

# 2.10. Crystal structure determination of $(1 R = C_6 H_4' Bu-p)$

The unit cell and intensity data were collected on a Delft Instruments FAST diffractometer using the routines ENDEX, REFINE and MADONL in the MADNES [8] software and processed using ABSMAD [9]; detailed procedures have been described [10]. The structure was solved with SHELX-86 [11] and the non-H atoms were refined with anisotropic temperature factors using

Table 1	
Crystal data and structure refineme	nt
Empirical formula	C <sub>29</sub> H <sub>30</sub> GeOS
Formula weight	499.18
Temperature (K)	293(2)
Wavelength (Å)	0.71069
Crystal system	Monoclinic
Space group	$P2_1/n$
Unit cell dimensions	
<i>a</i> (Å)	15.169(8)
b (Å)	9.210(5)
<i>c</i> (Å)	18.952(5)
β (°)	102.20(3)
Volume (Å <sup>3</sup> )	2588(2)
Ζ	4
Density (calculated) (Mg $m^{-3}$ )	1.281
Absorption coefficient (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	1.282
F(000)	1040
Crystal size (mm <sup>3</sup> )	$0.43 \times 0.14 \times 0.11$
$\theta$ range for data collection	2.20-25.00°
Index ranges	$-15 \leq h \leq 16;$
	$-8 \le k \le 10; \ -21 \le l \le 20$
Reflections collected	9786
Independent reflections	$3948 [R_{int} = 0.0536]$
Observed reflections $(I > 2\sigma(I))$	2277

Refinement method Full-matrix least-squares on  $F^2$ Number of parameters 300 Goodness-of-fit on  $F^2$  (S) 0.807Final R indices  $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$  $R_1 = 0.0445, wR_2 = 0.0906$ *R* indices (all data)  $R_1 = 0.0772, wR_2 = 0.0996$ Final weighting scheme Calc w = 1/ $[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0370P)^2]$ where  $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ Residual diffraction max. (e Å $^{-3}$ ) 0.692 Residual diffraction min. (e  $Å^{-3}$ ) -0.219

SHELXL-93 [12]. Disordered atoms were refined with population parameters of 0.333. Hydrogen atoms were allowed to ride on their attached carbon atoms with one of two common isotropic temperature factors. A molecular plot was obtained using the program ZORTEP [13]. Data collection and structure refinement parameters are given in Table 1. Tables of thermal parameters and hydrogen atom coordinates and complete lists of bond lengths and angles have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.

#### 3. Results and discussion

Compounds  $Ph_3GeCH_2SR$  were obtained by the reactions shown in Eqs. (1)–(3).

$$Ph_{3}GeBr + LiCH_{2}SR \rightarrow Ph_{3}GeCH_{2}SR$$
(1)  
e.g. R = Me

$$(Ph_3GeBr + Li) \rightarrow Ph_3GeLi + ClCH_2SR$$

$$\rightarrow Ph_3GeCH_2SR$$
(2)  
e.g. R = Ph

An earlier report indicated that  $Ph_3GeCH_2SC_6H_4Me-p$ could be oxidised to  $(1 R = C_6 H_4 Me_p)$  using  $Br_2$  in aqueous MeOH, but only in low yield [2]. Bromine in aqueous methanol, although a general and good oxidant for organic sulphides [14], was found in this study to be generally inefficient with Ph<sub>3</sub>GeCH<sub>2</sub>SR compounds. Even with excess  $Br_2$ , only poor yields of (1) were obtained. Sodium metaperiodate was, however, even less effective, with no (1) being isolated using this oxidant in mixed phase water/dichloromethane systems. In contrast, *m*-chloroperbenzoic acid (1 equivalent) was found to be a mild and effective reagent for the formation of both alkyl- and aryl-sulphinylmethylgermanium compounds (1 R = Me,  $C_6H_4R^{T}$ -p,  $R^{T}$  = H, Me or 'Bu) from Ph<sub>3</sub>GeCH<sub>2</sub>SR. No cleavage of phenyl-germanium bonds occurred during these reactions. Further oxidation of the sulphoxides (1) to the sulphones  $Ph_3GeCH_2S(O)_2R$  also occurs smoothly using m-ClC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>H. As reported in the Introduction, m-chloroperbenzoic acid has also been found to be a useful oxidant for R<sub>3</sub>SiCH<sub>2</sub>SR [4].

The  $\nu$ (SO) values for (1) are about 1030 cm<sup>-1</sup> and are within the region established for organic sulphoxides [15]. The CH<sub>2</sub> protons are diastereotopic, due to the adjacent sulphoxide group, with  $J_{(H-H)}$  values of ca. 13 Hz. Values of  $J_{(H-H)}$  for other XCH<sub>2</sub>S(O)Ar species are 13.5 Hz [R<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>SiCH<sub>2</sub>S(O)R] [1], 12.5 Hz [Me<sub>3</sub>GeCH<sub>2</sub>S(O)Ph] [1] and 10.8 Hz [ICH<sub>2</sub>S(O)Ar] [16].



Fig. 1. Atom arrangement and numbering system for  $(1 \text{ R} = C_6 H_4^{T} \text{Bu-}p)$ .

Table 2

Atomic coordinates ( $\times 10^4$ ) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\mathring{A}^2 \times 10^3$ )

Atom	x	у	z	U <sub>eq</sub>
Ge	3355.2(3)	1409.2(4)	1282.3(2)	47(1)
S	1499(1)	2538(1)	371(1)	60(1)
0	1392(2)	1061(4)	28(2)	92(1)
<b>C</b> (1)	2147(3)	2205(5)	1252(2)	61(1)
C(2)	449(3)	2960(5)	619(2)	48(1)
C(3)	- 208(3)	1933(5)	549(2)	58(1)
C(4)	- 994(3)	2217(5)	782(3)	62(1)
C(5)	-1147(3)	3531(5)	1094(2)	53(1)
C(6)	- 472(4)	4584(5)	1129(3)	65(1)
C(7)	309(3)	4295(5)	898(3)	59(1)
C(8)	- 1976(3)	3774(5)	1412(2)	68(1)
C(9A)	- 2821(8)	3198(16)	906(7)	51(4)
C(10A)	-2122(11)	5410(9)	1534(9)	58(4)
C(11A)	- 1816(12)	2979(18)	2136(6)	79(5)
C(9B)	- 1649(10)	3874(18)	2244(5)	78(5)
C(10B)	- 2701(11)	2653(15)	1225(10)	108(7)
C(11B)	- 2354(14)	5312(12)	1178(10)	137(10)
C(9C)	- 2800(10)	3748(19)	778(7)	97(8)
C(10C)	- 2066(13)	2363(13)	1872(9)	93(6)
C(11C)	- 1985(12)	5048(14)	1891(9)	9 <b>7</b> (7)
C(12)	4153(3)	2360(4)	2085(2)	47(1)
C(13)	3853(3)	2684(5)	2712(2)	58(1)
C(14)	4421(4)	3322(5)	3303(3)	75(2)
C(15)	5283(4)	3664(5)	3274(3)	77(2)
C(16)	5587(4)	3377(6)	2650(4)	91(2)
C(17)	5027(4)	2706(5)	2075(3)	67(2)
C(18)	3760(3)	1763(5)	396(2)	52(1)
C(19)	4080(4)	3074(6)	235(3)	87(2)
C(20)	4410(5)	3288(7)	- 379(4)	109(2)
C(21)	4411(4)	2217(9)	- 859(3)	97(2)
C(22)	4079(6)	943(8)	- 728(4)	133(3)
C(23)	3769(4)	697(6)	- 96(3)	99(2)
C(24)	3347(3)	- 650(5)	1475(2)	52(1)
C(25)	3956(3)	-1252(5)	2048(2)	61(1)
C(26)	3997(4)	- 2726(7)	2178(3)	83(2)
C(27)	3419(5)	- 3645(6)	1724(4)	92(2)
C(28)	2815(5)	- 3081(6)	1163(4)	87(2)
C(29)	2763(4)	- 1602(5)	1027(3)	66(1)

 $U_{eq}$  is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized  $U_{ij}$  tensor.

One of the methylene protons in (1 R = Me) shows a small coupling,  $J_{(H-H)} = 0.6$  Hz, with protons of the methyl group.

All compounds (1) are solids, with melting points above 100°C. A DSC experiment with (1 R =  $C_6H_4^{t}Bu-p$ ), conducted between 25 and 180°C, indicated that the material melted cleanly at 159°C, but that no crystallisation occurred on cooling. Instead the material remained as a glassy substance. On reheating the glassy sample from 60°C, an exothermic transition occurred at ca. 110°C (a possible cold crystallisation), followed by two endothermic processes (both possibly fusions), with maxima at ca. 135 and 150°C. Maintaining (1 R = Me or  $C_6H_4Me-p$ ) without solvent at 170°C for 30 min in air clearly resulted in extensive decomposition: (1 R = Me) was more readily decomposed. The

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the resulting viscous oils in CDCl<sub>3</sub> were complexed and indicated the decomposition of the germanium sulphoxides and, in each case, the formation of several products. The thermal reaction of  $R_3^1$ SiCHR<sup>2</sup>S(O)R is a Pummerer-type rearrangement and provides an O-triorganosilyl hemithioacetal R<sup>1</sup><sub>3</sub>SiO-CHR<sup>2</sup>SR [3-5]. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of several  $R_3^1$ SiOCHR<sup>2</sup>SR compounds (2) have been reported [5,17]. Values of  $\delta$  H(CHO) for (2 R<sup>2</sup> = Ph) and (2 R = alkyl) are ca. 6.0-6.3 and 5.1-5.4 ppm respectively: for Ph<sub>3</sub>GeOCH<sub>2</sub>SR, the  $\delta$  H(CH<sub>2</sub>O) values anticipated would be ca. 4.2-4.5 ppm. However, no major absorptions in this area were detected in the 'H NMR spectra and it has to be concluded that Ph<sub>3</sub>Ge-OCH<sub>2</sub>SR compounds do not feature significantly among the products of thermolysis of (1).

## 3.1. Crystal structure of $(I R = C_6 H_4^{\ t} Bu-p)$

Colourless crystals suitable for an X-ray crystallography study were obtained for  $(1 \text{ R} = C_6 H_4^{T} \text{Bu-}p)$ . The atom arrangement and numbering system for  $(1 \text{ R} = C_6 H_4^{T} \text{Bu-}p)$  are shown in Fig. 1. The atom coordinates are listed in Table 2; selected bond angles and lengths are in Table 3. The geometry about germanium is essentially tetrahedral with the range of valency angles at germanium from 106.1(2) to 112.2(2)°. The Ge-C\_{aryl} bond lengths are between 1.932(5) and 1.942(5) Å, with the Ge-C\_{alkyl} bond length equal to 1.963(5) Å: all are within the expected ranges. The Newman projection about the C(1)-S bond is shown in Fig. 2. The torsional angles Ge-C(1)-S-O and Ge-C(1)-S-C(2) are 62.3(3) and 171.7(2)° respectively. The Ge  $\cdots$  O separation is 3.410(4) Å.

As measured by the dihedral angle between the planes, [C(2)-C(7)] and [O,S,C(2)], the S-O bond is

Table 3 Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°)

Ge-C(24)	1.932(5)		
Ge-C(18)	1.933(4)		
Ge-C(12)	1.942(5)		
Ge-C(1)	1.963(5)		
S-O	1.501(3)		
S-C(1)	1.777(4)		
S-C(2)	1.796(5)		
C(24)–Ge–C(18)	110.2(2)	C(3)-C(2)-S	119.8(4)
C(24) - Ge - C(12)	108.8(2)	C(7) - C(2) - S	120.8(4)
C(18) - Ge - C(12)	110.2(2)		
C(24) - Ge - C(1)	109.2(2)	C(17)–C(12)–Ge	122.6(4)
C(18) - Ge - C(1)	112.2(2)	C(13)–C(12)–Ge	120.3(4)
C(12)–Ge–C(1)	106.1(2)		
O-S-C(1)	103.7(2)	C(25)-C(24)-Ge	120.8(4)
O-S-C(2)	106.8(2)	C(29)-C(24)-Ge	122.0(4)
C(1) - S - C(2)	97.9(2)	C(23)-C(18)-Ge	121.8(4)
S-C(1)-Ge	114.8(2)	C(19)-C(18)-Ge	122.6(4)



Fig. 2. Group arrangement about the central C(1)-S bond in (1  $R = C_6 H_4^{-1} Bu_p$ ).

twisted by  $7.0(3)^{\circ}$  from coplanarity with the tertbutylphenyl ring. The corresponding twist angles in the methyl aryl sulphoxides MeS(O)C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Me-*p* [18], MeS(O)C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>F<sub>2</sub>-3,4 and MeS(O)C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>F<sub>2</sub>-3,5 [19] are also small, being between 1.9(4) and 14.8(6)°. The non-planarity of the S(O) and attached aryl ring is in agreement with an M.O. calculation, from which it was concluded that the minimum in the energy profile for rotation about the C<sub>Ar</sub>-S bond corresponds to a twist angle of 7.3° between the S=O bond and the plane of the aryl ring [20]. The tert-butyl group is disordered with each of its methyl carbon atoms occupying one of three positions of equal occupancy at 20°C.

#### Acknowledgements

The use of the EPSRC X-ray crystallographic service at the University of Wales, Cardiff is gratefully acknowledged. Mr. B. Patterson is thanked for obtaining the DSC data.

#### References

- [1] A.G. Brook and D.G. Anderson, Can. J. Chem., 46 (1968) 2115.
- [2] R.D. Taylor and J.L. Wardell, J. Chem. Soc., Datton Trans., (1976) 1345.
- [3] E. Vedejs and M. Mullins, Tetrahedron Lett., (1975) 2017.
- [4] D.J. Ager, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, (1983) 1131.
- [5] F.A. Carey and O. Hernandez, J. Org. Chem., 38 (1973) 2670.
- [6] H. Gilman and C.W. Gerow, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 79 (1959) 342.
- [7] R.D. Taylor and J.L. Wardell, J. Organomet. Chem., 77 (1974) 311.
- [8] J.W. Pflugrath and A. Messerschmidt, *MADNES*, Vers. 11 September 1989, distributed by Delft Instruments, Delft, Netherlands, 1989.
- [9] A.I. Karaulov, ABSMAD Program for FAST data processing, University of Wales, Cardiff, 1992.
- [10] J.A. Darr, S.R. Drake, M.B. Hursthouse and K.M.A. Malik, *Inorg. Chem.*, 32 (1993) 5704.
- [11] G.M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., A46 (1990) 467.

- [12] G.M. Sheldrick, *SHELXL-93 Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures*, University of Göttingen, Germany, 1994.
- [13] L. Zsolnai, ZORTEP An Interactive ORTEP program, University of Heidelberg, Germany, 1994.
- [14] K. Uneyama and S. Torii, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, (1971) 329; U.
  Miotti, G. Modena and L. Sedea, J. Chem. Soc. B, (1970) 802.
- [15] L.J. Bellamy, in *The Infra-red Spectra of Complex Molecules*, Methuen, London, 1960, p. 357.
- [16] M. Hojo, R. Masuda, T. Sacki and S. Uyeda, *Synthesis*, (1976) 697.
- [17] T.H. Chan and B.S. Ong, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, (1976) 319; D.A. Evans, L.K. Truesdale, K.G. Grimm and S.L. Nesbitt, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 99 (1977) 5009.
- [18] U. de la Camp and H. Hope, Acta Crystallogr., B26 (1970) 846.
- [19] S. Ianelli, A. Musatti, M. Nardelli, R. Benassi, U. Folli and F. Taddei, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, (1992) 49.
- [20] R. Benassi, A. Mucci, L. Schenetti and F. Taddei, J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem), 184 (1989) 261.